Exhibit 30

C	Case 2: \$4\$\$ -25019475 DMHmePlor 91413d Filed 129/06/25ge Page 2 of 25e ID #:1	
1	ANDERSON YEH PC Edward M. Anderson (STATE BAR NO. 198183) edward@andersonyehlaw.com Regina Yeh (STATE BAR NO. 266019) regina@andersonyehlaw.com 1055 E. Colorado Blvd. Ste 500 Pasadena, California 91106 Telephone: (626) 204-4092 Facsimile: (888) 744-0317 Attorneys for Plaintiff ALCON ENTERTAINMENT, LLC UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION	
2		
4		
5		
6 7		
8		
9		
11	ALCON ENTEDTA DINCENTE LLC	CASE NO.
12	ALCON ENTERTAINMENT, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability	CASE NO.
13	Company,	COMPLAINT FOR:
14	Plaintiff,	1) DIRECT COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT;
15 16	V.	2) VICARIOUS COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT;
17		3) CONTRIBUTORY
18	TESLA, INC., a Texas Corporation; ELON MUSK, an individual; WARNER BROS. DISCOVERY, INC., a Delaware Corporation;	COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT;
19		AND
20		
21		4) FALSE ENDORSEMENT IN VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. §
22	Defendants.	1125(a)(1)(A)
23		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	COMPLAINT	

Plaintiff Alcon Entertainment, LLC ("Plaintiff" or "Alcon"), through its attorneys, hereby alleges its Complaint against defendants Tesla, Inc. ("Tesla"), Elon Musk ("Musk"), and Warner Bros. Discovery, Inc. ("WBDI") (collectively, "Defendants" and each separately a "Defendant"):

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

1. The Court has federal question subject matter jurisdiction per 15 U.S.C. § 1121(a), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and (b), and on the grounds that this is a civil action arising under the laws of the United States. Plaintiff seeks relief under the Copyright Act of 1976, as amended, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, *et al.*, and the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1125(a)(1)(A), in interstate commerce.

SUMMARY OF DISPUTE

- 2. Defendants requested permission to use an iconic still image (Exhibit A) from Alcon's "Blade Runner 2049" motion picture ("BR2049" or the "Picture") to promote Tesla's new fully autonomous cybercab in an October 10, 2024 event that was livestreamed worldwide from WBDI's Burbank, California studio lot. Alcon refused all permissions and adamantly objected to Defendants suggesting any affiliation between BR2049 and Tesla, Musk or any Musk-owned company. Defendants then used an apparently AI-generated faked image to do it all anyway.
- 3. Defendants apparently fed the Exhibit A Image, and similarly iconic images from the same visual sequence at BR2049's dramatic core (Exhibit B), into an AI-driven image generator, and then directed the AI to make a lightly stylized fake screen still from BR2049 (Exhibit C). Defendants then made this faked image the second presentation slide of the event, displaying it full screen on the livestream feed for 11 seconds (a marketing and advertising eternity) at the opening of Musk's cybercab sales pitch remarks.
- 4. During those 11 seconds, Musk tried awkwardly to explain why he was showing the audience a picture of BR2049 when he was supposed to be talking about his new product. He really had no credible reason. Musk ostensibly invited

the global audience to think about the cybercab's possibilities in juxtaposition to BR2049's fictional future. But it all exuded an odor of thinly contrived excuse to link Tesla's cybercab to strong Hollywood brands at a time when Tesla and Musk are on the outs with Hollywood.¹ Which of course is exactly what it was.

- 5. It was hardly coincidental that the only specific Hollywood film which Musk actually discussed to pitch his new, fully autonomous, AI-driven cybercab was BR2049 a film which just happens to feature a strikingly-designed, artificially intelligent, fully autonomous car throughout the story. Especially where Defendants had asked Alcon's permission to use BR2049 and been so firmly refused, this was clearly all a bad faith and intentionally malicious gambit by Defendants to make the otherwise stilted and stiff content of the joint WBDI-Tesla event more attractive to the global audience and to misappropriate BR2049's brand to help sell Teslas.
- 6. The financial magnitude of the misappropriation here was substantial. Alcon has spent decades and hundreds of millions of dollars building the BR2049 brand into the famous mark that it now is. Prior actual BR2049 contracts linking automotive brands to the Picture have had dollar price tags in the eight figures. The financial stakes and complexity of BR2049 automotive brand affiliations are especially high at the immediate moment. Alcon is in talks with other automotive brands for partnerships on Alcon's BR2049-based *Blade Runner 2099* television series currently in production, and Defendants' conduct is likely to cause confusion among Alcon's potential brand partner customers.

23 ///

¹ See, e.g., Brett Berk, "Hollywood Can't Ditch Its Tesla's Fast Enough: 'They're Destroying Their Leases and Walking Away,'" *The Hollywood Reporter*, September 20, 2024, https://www.hollywoodreporter.com/lifestyle/lifestyle-news/tesla-

robotaxi-warner-bros-reveal-hollywood-rejection-elon-musk-1236007945/.

- 7. Beyond these more ordinary commercial issues, there is the problematic Musk himself. Any prudent brand considering any Tesla partnership has to take Musk's massively amplified, highly politicized, capricious and arbitrary behavior, which sometimes veers into hate speech, into account. If, as here, a company or its principals do not actually agree with Musk's extreme political and social views, then a potential brand affiliation with Tesla is even more issuefraught. Alcon did not want BR2049 to be affiliated with Musk, Tesla, or any Musk company, for all of these reasons.
- 8. Now BR2049 unfortunately and falsely is so affiliated, and far beyond the 11 seconds of presentation time at the cybercab live event. The event's worldwide livestream X feed, including Musk's forced BR2049-laced opening, was re-tweeted or re-posted by Tesla, Musk, X and others thousands of times, with millions of total views. The false affiliation between BR2049 and Tesla is irreparably entangled in the global media tapestry, all as Defendants knew would inevitably happen, and amplifying the damage and confusion risks.
- 9. This was and is all highly offensive to Alcon's right to commercial and cultural self-determination. It was also a massive economic theft. Based on prior BR2049 automotive brand affiliation contracts and the nature and scope of the use here, the fair market value of the brand affiliation goodwill that Defendants stole is at least in the six figures and possibly much higher. Beyond Alcon's lost fees for the unauthorized association, Defendants muddied the waters for Alcon's in-progress exploration of automotive brand partnerships for the upcoming BR2049-based *Blade Runner 2099* television series.
- 10. Alcon now seeks relief under the United States Copyright Act and the Lanham Act, for damages and to pry Musk and his co-Defendants away from Alcon's BR2049 brand and goodwill.

28 | ///

///

PERSONAL JURISDICTION OVER DEFENDANTS

11. Per Rule 4(k)(1)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court has personal jurisdiction over any defendant who is subject to the jurisdiction of any California court of general jurisdiction. California's long arm statute, *Cal. Code Civ. Pro.* § 410.10, allows courts in the state to exercise personal jurisdiction over parties to the full extent permissible under the United States Constitution. Personal jurisdiction over the Defendants here is thus proper if it comports with due process. It does, including for the following reasons.

Personal Jurisdiction Over Tesla

- 12. <u>General Personal Jurisdiction</u>: The Court has general or unlimited personal jurisdiction over Tesla. Tesla is currently incorporated under the laws of the State of Texas and its principal corporate office or headquarters is in Austin, Texas and has been since about December 2021. However, California was Tesla's original principal corporate office home state, from Tesla's inception in about 2003 until the December 2021 move to Texas. Tesla still maintains continuous and systematic contacts with California, including continuing to operate at least two major manufacturing plants in the state.
- 13. Specific Personal Jurisdiction: Additionally and/or in the alternative, the Court has specific or limited personal jurisdiction over Tesla. Alcon's claims arise out of Tesla's purposeful availment of the rights, privileges, and protections of doing business in California, and also arise out of Tesla's commission of tortious activity in California and purposeful direction of tortious conduct toward the forum state. Tesla committed the acts of infringement alleged herein, or substantial portions of them, in preparation for and during the course of the October 10, 2024 cybercab product reveal event at WBDI's Burbank, California studio lot. The event was personally conducted by Musk who is Tesla's founder, principal and Chief Executive Officer. Tesla's acts of copyright infringement and violations of the Lanham Act all constituted torts directed toward Alcon, a forum resident, and

relate to the motion picture industry, which is of compelling interest to the forum state. Exercise of personal jurisdiction over Tesla also is reasonable and fair.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Personal Jurisdiction Over Musk

14. The Court has at least specific or limited personal jurisdiction over Musk as an individual. Plaintiff's claims against him arise out of his acts of purposeful availment of the benefits and privileges of conducting activities in California, including where he personally conducted the event from the WBDI lot in Burbank, California. Plaintiff's claims also arise out of Musk's commission of tortious acts while physically present in the forum state. His acts also constituted purposeful direction of tortious conduct to the forum, all for the same specific facts and reasons as described above regarding Tesla personal jurisdiction. Exercise of personal jurisdiction over Musk as an individual also is reasonable and fair. At any given time depending on stock market fluctuations, Musk is reportedly the richest man in the world and has ample resources to defend himself in California court.

Personal Jurisdiction Over WBDI

- The Court has general or unlimited personal jurisdiction over WBDI. 15. WBDI is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and its principal corporate office or headquarters is in New York. However, WBDI has continuous and systematic contacts with California, including owning and operating one of Hollywood's oldest major motion picture and television studios including the Warner Bros. Studios lot in Burbank, California.
- Additionally and/or in the alternative, the Court has specific or limited 16. personal jurisdiction over WBDI. Alcon's claims arise out of WBDI's purposeful availment of the rights, privileges, and protections of doing business in California. They also arise out of WBDI's commission of tortious activity in California and purposeful direction of tortious conduct toward the forum state. WBDI's involvement in acts of copyright infringement and violations of the Lanham Act all constituted torts directed toward Alcon, a forum resident. They all relate to the

motion picture industry, an industry in which the forum state has a compelling interest. Exercise of personal jurisdiction over WBDI also is reasonable and fair.

VENUE

28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) Venue as to all Defendants

17. Venue is proper as to all Defendants pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Alcon's claims occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, within this judicial district. The infringed property in question includes Alcon's copyright in BR2049 and ownership of BR2049 marks and goodwill, which property is all located within this district for venue purposes, where Alcon has its corporate headquarters in Los Angeles, California.

Additional Venue Bases

18. Venue also is proper as to WBDI and Tesla pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1400(a) and 1391(d). For venue purposes, Tesla and WBDI each reside in or may be found within this district. Tesla and WBDI each have continuous and systematic contacts with the forum state and this district specifically, including sufficient contacts with this district to establish personal jurisdiction in this district, if this district were treated as a separate state.

PARTIES

Plaintiff

- 19. Alcon is an independent motion picture and television studio whose products are distributed worldwide. Alcon is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 10390 Santa Monica Blvd., #250, Los Angeles, California 90025.
- 20. Alcon produced BR2049 and owns the BR2049 copyright and the BR2049 marks and brand at issue in this action. Alcon has produced more than thirty other major motion pictures, including "The Blind Side" (which won the 2009 Academy Award for Best Actress), the "Dolphin Tale" series, the "Sisterhood"

COMPLAINT

of the Traveling Pants" series, "Book of Eli," "P.S. I Love You," "My Dog Skip," "Prisoners," and "The Garfield Movie." Alcon also produces television, including the critically acclaimed television series *The Expanse*. Alcon is currently in production on a "Blade Runner 2049" sequel or spinoff television series entitled *Blade Runner 2099*.

Defendants

- 21. <u>Tesla</u>: Tesla is a well-known developer and manufacturer of electric automobiles. Some of Tesla's automobile products are marketed as partially or fully autonomous. The idea of AI-controlled or otherwise autonomous automobiles is a Tesla brand focus.
- 22. <u>Musk</u>: Musk is Tesla's founder, largest shareholder and Chief Executive Officer. In addition to owning and operating Tesla, he also owns and operates the social media platform X (formerly Twitter) and the rocket and satellite company SpaceX, among other ventures. Musk has become an increasingly vocal, overtly political, highly polarizing figure globally, and especially in Hollywood.
- 23. <u>WBDI</u>: WBDI is one of the largest entertainment conglomerates in the world. It owns the Warner Bros. Studios lot in Burbank, California. WBDI is currently run by its Chief Executive Officer, David Zaslav, a man who is both friendly with Musk and controversial in Hollywood in his own right.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION The Infringed Work And Brand

24. Alcon is the owner and, as to all rights at issue herein, the exclusive copyright holder, of the BR2049 motion picture. The Picture is registered with the United States Copyright Office under registration number PA0002056792 and has been since October 6, 2017. The images from BR2049 shown in Exhibits A and B are from an iconic visual sequence at the dramatic core of the Picture. In the copyright context, they are qualitatively significant to the Picture and they qualify

OMPLAINT

||a ||L

///

as being at the "heart of the work." They also have secondary meaning in the Lanham Act context, all as discussed below.

- Gosling). K is an artificially intelligent android or replicant pursuing the possible existence of a wholly or partially replicant child conceived by, and born to, a replicant mother, a phenomenon which would re-order the entire societal relationship between humans and their artificially intelligent replicant creations. K's trench coat or "duster" is the predominant feature of his wardrobe or costume. Throughout the Picture, K travels in, and is assisted by, his artificially intelligent, quasi-sentient flying car, or "spinner," which is capable of autonomous action.
- 26. The Exhibit A and B images are from among the most memorable sequences in the Picture: K's arrival in, and exploration of, the orange-colored ruins of the Picture's abandoned Las Vegas, rendered uninhabitable by a dirty nuclear device many years prior to the story's timeframe. The sequence follows K as he leaves the spinner and walks in his trench coat or "duster" toward and through the misty orange urban desert ruins, often viewed by the camera from behind or in silhouette. The sequence leads up to and forebodes the Picture's dramatic apogee: K's encounter with his predecessor Deckard (Harrison Ford) from the original 1982 "Blade Runner" motion picture (the "1982 Picture").
- 27. The image attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference is a still image from the above sequence. It is an image positioned from behind K, with his close-cropped hair, garbed in his distinctive trench coat or "duster," as he stands next to his spinner, facing away from the camera to survey the devastated orange-light-bathed Las Vegas cityscape ("Exhibit A Image"). In the Exhibit A Image, K is surveying the ruins as he prepares to set out on the walk through them that will lead him to the long-lost and mysterious Deckard the most highly anticipated encounter in the Picture.

- 9 -

3

5 6

4

8

10

11

9

12

13 14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

26

27 28

28. The images attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by reference are still images from K's walk through the ruins to encounter Deckard ("Exhibit B Images").

- 29. "Blade Runner 2049," and the words "Blade Runner" in contexts that refer to or include BR2049 (such as, for example, the words "Blade Runner" not followed by the number "2049," but alongside iconic images from BR2049, or other callouts to specific scenes or elements of BR2049), the Exhibit A Image, and the Exhibit B Images, all have secondary meaning within the meaning of the Lanham Act, at the level of famous marks.
- They have achieved that status not merely accidentally, but because of 30. Alcon's extensive and expensive efforts. Beginning no later than 2011 and on a continuing basis ever since, Alcon expended and continues to expend vast resources, in excess of \$200 million to date, from original acquisition of relevant underlying rights, to development, production, marketing, and distribution of BR2049, to ongoing brand development and active policing of infringements, to development, production and distribution of numerous derivative works, including without limitation television series, comic books, and video games.
- 31. The Picture was theatrically released globally on a day-and-date basis in October 2017. It received an 89% positive audience reaction on well-known film review site Rotten Tomatoes. Among numerous other awards, BR2049 was nominated for five Academy Awards, and it won two: Best Cinematography and Best Visual Effects. IGN gaming website named BR2049 the Best Movie of the Year for 2017, the Golden Tomato Awards named it the Best Sci-Fi/Fantasy Movie of 2017, and the 2018 Saturn Awards named it the Best Science Fiction film. BR2049 is regularly identified as one of the best science fiction movies of all time on lists of such movies generated by journalists, critics, and consumers.
- 32. Alcon's efforts thus have generated robust consumer goodwill and brand recognition for BR2049 and its elements, specifically including the Exhibit

- 33. The Exhibit A Image was the image used as the lead photo for numerous marketing, promotional and publicity press pieces about the Picture preceding its October 2017 initial theatrical release. It is still to this day the image that appears as the cover image to the official BR2049 marketing and promotional trailer as that trailer appears on YouTube. It is the back cover image for *The Art and Soul of Blade Runner 2049*, the coffee table book celebrating the Picture's visual design elements. It thus is one of the most iconic images from the Picture, and also one of the most commercially significant in a marketing sense. It immediately evokes BR2049 and everything the Picture stands for, without any words or other references. It is the image which Defendants specifically requested to use (and were refused by Alcon), as discussed further, *infra*.
- 34. Images from BR2049's same Las Vegas sequence also have been, and still are, used by Alcon for marketing, promotion and publicity for the Picture. As just one example, the front cover of the same *The Art and Soul of Blade Runner* 2049 coffee table book about the Picture is from Exhibit B Images set (K's dustergarbed silhouette moving alone through misty orange-lit emptiness). Exhibit B Images and similar images from the same sequence in the Picture consistently appear at, or near, the top of search engine queries about the Picture. Exhibit B Images and those like them from the Las Vegas sequence -- of a silhouetted trench coat-wearing man moving through a misty orange-colored ruinous urban desert landscape -- are immediately evocative of BR2049, without any other cues or references required.
- 35. The Picture and its brand (including specifically the words "Blade Runner" even without the year "2049," when used in contexts that evoke BR2049 distinct from the 1982 Picture, and specifically including the Exhibit A Image and

- 36. Numerous major automotive brands expressed substantial interest in a co-promotion brand partnership with Alcon on BR2049 prior to the Picture's initial theatrical release. K's spinner as it appears in BR2049 is in fact branded under a major global automotive brand. The contract price for that theatrical release co-promotion was well into the eight figures.
- 37. BR2049 is a commercially living property, with an ongoing active market for automotive brand partnerships in particular. For instance, as already mentioned, Alcon is currently in production on *Blade Runner 2099*, a BR2049-derived sequel or spin-off television series and Alcon is currently actively in the process of engaging with automotive brands for brand partnerships on that project.

The October 10, 2024 Tesla Marketing Event and Alcon's Express Denial of Defendants' License Requests and Clear Objections to Any Affiliation

38. Some of what happened among the Defendants is not yet known to Plaintiff, and likely will not be known until and unless Plaintiff is allowed discovery. Based on news reports, the nature of the event, and industry custom and practice with respect to studio lot events, and partial information provided by WBDI agents or representatives, Plaintiff makes the allegations in this paragraph 38 on information and belief and subject to the need for discovery: At some point

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 39. Based on what actually happened at the event and the communications from WBDI agents and representatives to Alcon on the day of the event, as well as the absence of any substantial brand affiliation negotiation communications to Alcon at earlier dates or at all, Alcon is informed and believes and subject to the need for discovery thereon makes the allegations in this paragraph 39: The Tesla-WBDI event contract (or another associated contract) included a promotional element or elements, whereby WBDI allowed or possibly even required Tesla expressly to affiliate the cybercab with one or more motion pictures from WBDI's motion picture library, or the motion picture library of WBDI's subsidiary Warner Bros. Pictures, a division of WB Studio Enterprises Inc. ("Warner Bros. Pictures").
- 40. Warner Bros. Pictures was Alcon's domestic distributor for the 2017 theatrical release of BR2049 and still has some domestic distribution rights, but not without limitations and restrictions. Warner Bros. Pictures has some limited and ongoing "clip licensing" rights in the domestic market only, and not at all for a livestream television feed. Moreover, neither Warner Bros. Pictures nor any other WBDI entity owns the copyright in BR2049 or any of the Picture's marks or

- 41. Warner Bros. Pictures has a longstanding course of dealing with Alcon generally and on BR2049 specifically. Pursuant at least to that course of dealing, and custom and practice in the industry, Warner Bros. Pictures is required to, expected by Alcon to, and in fact actually does consult with Alcon and seek Alcon's approval prior to brand affiliation licensing of any BR2049 elements for, *inter alia*, a substantial, high-profile, and highly commercial brand affiliation, especially if the affiliation is potentially controversial or politically charged, and even if for only the domestic market.
- 42. Neither Warner Bros. Pictures nor any other WBDI entity or representative ever communicated with Alcon at all about any potentially contemplated BR2049 brand affiliation with the Tesla cybercab or the event. (Even the communications that occurred from WBDI's representative to Alcon on the day of the event were disingenuously in the context of a purported relatively routine "clip license" request, never as the much more significant brand affiliation really at issue.) That failure is inconsistent with the above long-standing course of dealing, and with custom and practice in the industry.
- 43. Based on what actually happened at the event and the communications to Alcon from WBDI representatives on the day of the event and since, as well as the absence of certain communications to Alcon at earlier dates or at all, Alcon is informed and believes and, subject to the need for discovery, makes the allegations in this paragraph 43: Musk communicated to WBDI at some point in the event planning process, that Musk specifically wanted to associate the cybercab and Tesla with BR2049. Musk believed (incorrectly) that BR2049 was part of the

relevant WBDI motion picture library subject to the Tesla-WBDI event agreement, or otherwise that WBDI could grant Tesla worldwide BR2049 exploitation rights to affiliate BR2049 with the cybercab during the event. Among other BR2049 brand affiliation rights, Tesla and Musk asked WBDI for specific permission and rights to use the Exhibit A Image. The specific Tesla employees, contractors or agents tasked with executing on these issues included David Adametz ("Adametz") (a video production marketing executive at Tesla) and Shara Lili ("Lili"), a Manager of Video Content for Tesla (also a video production marketing executive at Tesla). One or both of Adametz and Lili were in direct contact with WBDI executives about the BR2049 brand affiliation, and one or both of Adametz and Lili were also in direct or indirect contact with Musk about it, too.

44. Based on similarly-founded information and belief, and subject to the need for discovery, Alcon further makes the allegations in this paragraph 44: At the request of one or all of the Defendants (possibly made by Adametz or Lili on behalf of Tesla and Musk), WBDI's shared services rights clearance department commenced clearance checks on the planned BR2049 brand inclusion in the October 10, 2024 cybercab event.² For reasons not yet fully known to Alcon, WBDI's shared services rights clearance department commenced (incorrectly) to clear the use as only involving a need for a "clip license" (typically a relatively

² Shared services departments at Hollywood studios and their affiliated larger corporate conglomerates have personnel (often legal, financial, accounting, or human resources professionals) who may be ostensibly employed by, receive their paychecks from, and have titles only with, a single corporate entity in the larger conglomerate, but who in fact render services upon request or direction to a range of entities within the conglomerate. Here, Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that the WBDI shared services licensing department personnel involved in this matter included an individual executive who is based in Burbank, California and ostensibly an employee of Warner Bros. Pictures, but who on request or direction renders licensing and clearance services to WBDI or on its behalf.

- WBDI, or in WBDI communications with Musk and Tesla, as to why none of them ever contacted Alcon about the larger brand affiliation proposal that was really effectively at issue. Alcon is unlikely to know without litigation discovery. However, Alcon does know at least the following three things: a) the magnitude and nature of the cybercab event and the contemplated BR2049 use in it, meant that the issue was well beyond a mere "clip license," but rather a more substantive brand affiliation, requiring significant business discussions with Alcon to proceed; b) none of the Defendants or anyone acting on their behalves ever made any contact with any Alcon representative about the cybercab event prior to the actual day of the event, not even for a "clip license"; and c) none of them ever made any larger brand affiliation outreach to Alcon at all, even on the day of the event. Instead, Alcon learned about Defendants' interest in BR2049 on the day of the event, a mere six hours prior to the event's scheduled commencement, as follows:
- 46. At shortly after 12:00 p.m. PDT (noon) on October 10, 2024, the day of the event, a WBDI shared services clip licensing executive based in Burbank and engaged in the WBDI-Tesla-Musk BR2049 rights clearance process realized that WBDI's shared services licensing personnel could not ever clear the proposed BR2049 cybercab event affiliation without reaching out to Alcon and/or Alcon's international distribution partner, including at a minimum because the cybercab event required global rights, not only domestic, since the event would be livestreamed globally. On information and belief, the WBDI shared services clip licensing executive communicated the problem directly or indirectly to WBDI executives and to Tesla's Adametz or Lili, and informed them that either Alcon and/or Alcon's international distribution partner would have to grant permissions,

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

or the BR2049 affiliation in the event could not occur, since international rights were involved.

- 47. The WBDI shared services clip licensing executive then sent an email "heads up" to their counterpart at Alcon's international distribution partner that they might be getting an emergency rush basis BR2049 rights permission request for "a Tesla even[t] happening today on our lot (sorry)." The shared services licensing executive at Alcon's international distribution partner communicated that they would be unable to help without Alcon's direct involvement, resulting in contact finally being made with Alcon for the first time (just several hours before the event's scheduled commencement), by looping in an Alcon legal and business affairs executive into a portion of the clearance communication chain.
- In a resulting combination of telephonic and email communications 48. among Alcon's legal department and the above-referenced two shared services clip licensing executives at WBDI and Alcon's international distribution partner, Alcon sought further information about the proposed BR2049 rights actually being requested. Although the information given was sparse, Alcon learned enough information for Alcon's co-CEOs to consider the proposal and firmly reject it, which they did. By no later than about 2:00 p.m. PDT on October 10, 2024, by a combination of emails and telephone communications, Alcon's legal and business affairs executive communicated back to the WBDI shared services clip licensing executive and the international distributor shared services clip licensing executive that: a) Alcon refused all permissions for the October 10, 2024 WBDI-Tesla event; b) Alcon was adamant that under no circumstances should there be any BR2049 affiliation, or any other Alcon affiliation, express or implied, with Tesla, X, Musk or any Musk-owned company in the course of the October 10, 2024 event, or ever (including a requirement to note Alcon's position and directions in Warner Bros. Pictures and WBDI databases); and c) the two shared services clip licensing executives were to please relay both of these a) and b) messages back to WBDI,

- 49. Alcon is thus informed and believes and thereon alleges that by no later than about 2:00 p.m. PDT on October 10, 2024, WBDI, Tesla and X all knew and understood that Alcon had not only refused any permissions to use any BR2049 copyrighted elements in connection with the WBDI-Tesla event, but Alcon had also expressly and clearly objected to any express or implied BR2049 affiliation with the event, or with Tesla, Tesla's cybercab, Musk, or X.
- know what he was presenting and the parameters. Based on that reality and all the circumstances known to Alcon so far, Alcon is informed and believes, and on that basis and subject to the need for discovery, makes the allegations of this paragraph 50: At some point between about 2:00 p.m. PDT and the approximately 8:00 p.m. PDT actual start time of the event on October 10, 2024, Musk personally became aware of Alcon's permission denials and express objections (likely directly or indirectly through Adametz or Lili). He thus personally knew and understood that to incorporate BR2049 into the event presentation at all would be improper and an unauthorized misappropriation of BR2049 goodwill. He did it anyway.

Defendants' October 10, 2024 Intentional Copyright Infringement and Brand Misappropriation

51. The event was scheduled to begin at 7:00 p.m. PDT on October 10, 2024, but did not actually begin until about 8:00 p.m. PDT. In a brief introduction of a minute or less, a Tesla representative named "Franz" took the stage. Franz's only substantive remark was to note that the presentation was being made from the Warner Bros. lot, the home of many science fiction films that show visions of the

///

- 52. The livestream tracked the Musk-bearing cybercab from various camera vantage points as it autonomously slowly rolled him to the presentation stage building at another part of the lot. Musk exited and took the event stage. He spent about another minute on welcoming remarks and explaining that there were 20 cybercabs and another 30 fully autonomous and driverless Tesla Model Ys at the event that attendees would be able to take a ride in.
- 53. Then to commence the actual presentation, Musk said: "So you see a lot of sci-fi movies where the future is dark and dismal, where it's not a future you want to be in." As he said this, the event's global livestream feed changed to a full screen display of a presentation slide with an image of the Earth from space at sunrise, with the words "What Kind of World Do We Want to Live In?" This first slide stayed on the full-screen livestream feed for less than two seconds.
- 54. Then, the livestream full screen display shifted to Musk's second slide, which the livestream displayed for about 11 seconds. The second slide is an image that looks like a motion picture still photo (although it isn't) of a male figure seen from behind, with close-cropped hair, wearing a trench coat or duster, standing in almost full silhouette as he surveys the abandoned ruins of a city, all bathed in misty orange light. In the upper left corner the words "Not This" appear superimposed on part of the orange sky. Exhibit C is a screenshot of this second slide image from Musk's presentation ("Presentation Slide 2 Image").

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

- either as an actual still image from BR2049's iconic sequence of K exploring the ruined Las Vegas, or as a minimally stylized copy of one (and it does read like one or both of these). From Alcon's examination, it seems likely to have been generated by copying the Exhibit A Image and the Exhibit B Images (or similar images from the Picture's Las Vegas sequence), and asking an AI image generation engine to make "an image from the K surveying ruined Las Vegas sequence of 'Blade Runner 2049,'" or some closely equivalent input direction. On information and belief, and subject to the need for discovery, Alcon alleges that in fact this is how the Presentation Slide 2 Image was generated, and for the bad faith intentional purpose of affiliating BR2049 and its goodwill with Tesla's cybercab, over Alcon's denial of permission and express objections.
- 56. On information and belief, and subject to the need for discovery, Alcon makes the allegations in this paragraph 56: The Presentation Slide 2 Image was generated in the above way by an employee or agent of one or more of WBDI, Tesla (possibly by Adametz or Lili), or even possibly by Musk himself, and this was done with knowledge of the improper nature and purpose of the image generation request. All of the Defendants participated in its creation, and in its display in the presentation at the event, from a WBDI-owned building and studio lot, on WBDI-owned video screens and otherwise using WBDI-owned technology infrastructure, operated by or in conjunction with Tesla employees, all acting in whole or in part subject to the direction and control of Musk, at least during the time of the event. Defendants all acted with the knowledge and understanding that the X livestream or other equivalent video record of the event would be retweeted, reposted, or otherwise picked up and redistributed tens of thousands or even millions of times across the United States and the world immediately and continuing for days after the event. In any event, all three of WBDI, Tesla and Musk knew and understood the unauthorized nature of the image and the improper

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

purpose behind it, and encouraged or otherwise lent their support to the improper endeavor. In the alternative, as to any and all Defendants who did not so actively participate, such Defendants ratified the conduct and knowingly accepted the benefits of it.

- 57. If there were any doubt that Defendants intended to evoke BR2049 with the Presentation Slide 2 Image and Musk's related remarks, Musk erased them with his voiceover comments during the approximately 11 seconds that the infringing Presentation 2 Image was completely filling the livestream screen. He said: "You know, I love 'Blade Runner,' but I don't know if we want that future. I believe we want that duster he's wearing, but not the, uh, not the bleak apocalypse." The Presentation Slide 2 Image then disappeared and Musk segued to talking about how what we all should want is a happier looking future, and how happy and joyful his vision of cities and highways filled with driverless robot cars will be and why.
- 58. Although Musk said the words "Blade Runner" without the year number (without "2049"), he clearly specifically meant to evoke BR2049 rather than the original 1982 Picture, and he was motivated to do so. The two films are clearly related, but BR2049 has its own distinct brand and secondary meaning, and BR2049's specific goodwill is far more relevant to Tesla's and Musk's cybercab pitch and product.
- 59. Although the 1982 Picture does prominently feature flying car "spinners," the cars in the 1982 Picture are not shown to be wholly or even partially autonomous, or even shown to employ artificial intelligence themselves in any way. None of the cars in the 1982 Picture play any role as a quasi-sentient companion to the Deckard lead character in the 1982 Picture, like K's spinner does for K in BR2049. Pointedly, then, if you are a company (or own one) specifically trying to market artificially intelligent, wholly or partially autonomous self-driving cars (as Tesla and Musk are), the 1982 Picture has little or no specifically relevant

- 60. Musk did successfully evoke BR2049 specifically, and that is true even though Musk only said the words "Blade Runner" without adding the year "2049. For the reasons detailed in the next paragraphs below, Musk's act of displaying the Exhibit C Image -- an image of a man in near-silhouette, with close-cropped hair and wearing a duster, while he surveys an orange-light-bathed ruined and abandoned cityscape -- and displaying it for 11 seconds while Musk talks about "Blade Runner" and a specifically "apocalyptic" future that is all specifically evocative of BR2049, and not of the 1982 Picture.
- 61. While both the 1982 Picture and BR2049 show dystopian urban futures, only BR2049 has a specifically apocalyptic setting. Only BR2049 has an abandoned and ruined city (Las Vegas) that has suffered an event of extreme destruction (the detonation by terrorists of a dirty nuclear device). The ruined Las Vegas is where the most dramatically charged events of the BR2049 story take place (K's encounter with the long-lost Deckard). The setting has striking color design, cinematography and other visual elements: it is distinctly bathed in "apocalyptic" misty orange light, just like the Presentation Slide 2 Image and which the 1982 Picture does not have in any comparable scene. Throughout BR2049, there also are general references and story elements about civilization having semi-recently suffered a broader, apocalyptic nuclear conflict in the midrange past, including electromagnetic pulse activity that destroyed many electronic records, making investigation of the past difficult.
- 62. In contrast, the 1982 Picture is set in a dystopian urban landscape of a then-futuristic 2019 Los Angeles, but the 1982 Picture's setting is specifically not apocalyptic. In the 1982 Picture, there has not been any dirty nuclear device, or nuclear electromagnetic burst which destroyed electronic records, or other

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 63. Musk thus very clearly meant specifically to evoke not the 1982 Picture, but rather BR2049 and everything that goes with it -- including artificially intelligent autonomous cars like the Tesla cybercab being pitched at the event. He successfully did.
- 64. Any argument that Musk and his co-Defendants only meant to talk broadly about the general idea of science fiction films and undesirable apocalyptic futures and juxtaposing them with Musk's ostensibly happier robot car future vision, and that they just used BR2049 by chance, without conscious awareness of and intent to appropriate BR2049's special secondary meaning in the context of trying to sell artificially autonomous cars, is not credible.
- 65. First, as detailed in foregoing paragraphs, there is a clear record that WBDI and Tesla were specifically interested in BR2049, as late as mere hours before the event, and not just any BR2049 Image, but the Exhibit A Image prominently featuring K's artificially intelligent autonomously capable spinner.
- 66. Second, if Musk by his presentation was only trying to use an exemplary science fiction movie to make a rhetorical point, there were much better choices actually in the WBDI library for him to reference, given what his point ostensibly was. Musk's ostensible point was "science fiction film futures look

6

7

5

8

10

9

12

11

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28 bleak and not thinking enough about transportation technology risks that kind of apocalyptic future; I can help us do better with my cybercabs." If that was really the point he was trying to make, there are better films actually in the WBDI library to make it.

- 67. Every single one of the five "Mad Max" movies in WBDI's motion picture library ("Mad Max," "The Road Warrior," "Mad Max Beyond Thunderdome," "Fury Road," and "Furiosa: A Mad Max Saga") deals far more specifically than BR2049 with that ostensible message. Every one of the Mad Max movies is set in an apocalyptic future where gas-powered, non-autonomous vehicles not only played a part in bringing about civilization's end (wars over oil), but where gasoline and gas-powered non-autonomous cars continue to be what the remaining humans fight with and about in the post-apocalyptic wasteland. Any of those "Mad Max" movies in the WBDI library thus would have made much more sense to use, if the true purpose of referencing a specific film was to make a rhetorical point.
- The entire opening and its forced references to science fiction films 68. generally was never really for Musk to make a rhetorical point, though. Franz's and Musk's Hollywood film rhetoric was just a contrivance for something else more economically valuable to the Defendants. The WBDI lot location, and especially the strained science fiction film references, were all clearly an intentional effort to affiliate Tesla and its cybercab with Hollywood brands, and at a time when Musk and Tesla are on the outs with Hollywood creatives and brands. It was all about appropriating desirable Hollywood associations, and if possible, Hollywood associations with special resonance to artificial intelligence and strikingly-designed autonomous cars.
- The "Mad Max" movies are great, and so are many other dystopianfuture or apocalyptic-future movies actually in WBDI's library. But those movies don't have massive consumer goodwill specifically around really cool-looking